UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,594	06/25/2001	Henning Molsen	YAMAP0757US	4696
Neil A DuChez	7590 07/01/200	EXAMINER		
Renner Otto Bo 19th Floor	oisselle & Sklar	NGUYEN, HOAN C		
1621 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/787,594	MOLSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The solution of the condition of the c	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 38-84 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-50,52,53,55-80 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 51,54,81 and 82 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	<u>,83 and 84</u> is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correc	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limited to the priority docume</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 51, 54, 81 and 82 based on the Response filed on 04/07/2008 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Therefore, this is non-Final action.

Applicants mentioned that the PCT filed on September 2, 1998 in English and designed to US. Therefore, this filing data is effective filing date. The Japanese reference now is replaced with the US patent **6195140B1**, which has a filing date of 7/27/1998.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kubo et al. (US6195140B1)** and in view of Hasegawa et al. (US5654780) and Eichenlaub (US5428366A).

Kubo et al. teach (Fig. 2-3) a transflective display comprising

 a liquid crystal 36; the liquid crystal disposed between a front substrate 32 and a rear substrate 37; Application/Control Number: 09/787,594 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

a backlight 39 located behind the liquid crystal,

a partially reflective mirror 38 located between the liquid crystal and a rear
polarizer 9 for both reflecting ambient light back through the liquid crystal and
allowing transmission of light from the backlight through the liquid crystal
characterized in that each pixel is provided with a color light filter;

 a front polarizer located 30 in front of the front substrate and a rear polarizer is located behind the rear substrate.

### wherein

• the rear substrate 32 is provided with the partially reflective mirror 38.

However, Kubo et al. fail to disclose

- a liquid crystal divided into a plurality of pixels with addressing means for addressing each pixel and switching each pixel between different states resulting in different levels of transmission of light through the display,
- a transflective display with the backlight comprising a plurality of sequentially flashing light sources.

Hasegawa et al. teach forming addressing means (TFT) for addressing each pixel and switching each pixel between different states resulting in different levels of transmission of light through the display (col. 8 lines 14-21)

Eichenlaub teaches (Fig. 4) a LCD display with the backlight comprising a plurality of sequentially flashing light sources for overcoming the image breakup phenomena (col. 8 lines 54-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a transflective display as **Kubo et al.** disclosed with (a) forming pixels with addressing means (TFT) for addressing each pixel and switching each pixel between different states resulting in different levels of transmission of light through the display for improving quality display (col. 8 lines 20-21); (b) the backlight comprising a plurality of sequentially flashing light sources for overcoming the image breakup phenomena as taught by Eichenlaub (col. 8 lines 54-58).

2. Claims 54 and 81-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kubo et al. (US6195140B1)** and in view of Hasegawa et al. (US5654780) and Eichenlaub (US5428366A), and further in view of Handschy et al. (US5347378A).

Maeda et al. fail to disclose a transflective display, in which LC is formed a Pi cell.

Handschy et al. teach (col. 2 lines 51-58) a <u>nematic liquid crystal "Pi-cell</u> has the ability to switch between colors with a voltage level at a significantly faster rate. The nematic liquid crystal <u>inherently</u> has substantially parallel surface director orientation.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,594 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a transflective display as **Kubo et al.** disclosed with a <u>nematic liquid crystal "Pi-cell</u> for switching between colors with a voltage level for providing frame-sequential color displays at fast rate (col. 2 lines 51-58).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,594 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

Chn

/Andrew Schechter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2871